IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM AUGUSTUS PERRY, JR.,)
Plaintiff,)
v. US FEDERAL DRUG ADMINISTRATION, APRIA HEALTH CARE/APRIA HEALTH GROUP, RESMED, and FISHER PAYKEL, Defendants.)) NO. 4:24-CV-150-FL)))))
WILLIAM AUGUSTUS PERRY, JR., Plaintiff, v. US DEPT OF DEFENSE and US NAVY, Defendants.))) NO. 4:24-CV-151-FL)))
WILLIAM AUGUSTUS PERRY, JR., Plaintiff, v. US DEPT. OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, SEC. OF THE VA, VA MEDICAL, VA BENEFITS/COMPENSATION/PENSION, VA BOARD OF VETERANS APPEAL, and VA INSURANCE SERVICE DISABLED VETERANS INSURANCE, Defendants.))))) NO. 4:24-CV-152-FL))))

<u>ORDER</u>

These three matters commenced by the same pro se plaintiff are before the court on

plaintiff's motions to proceed in forma pauperis in each case, and for review pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(e). United States Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Swank entered memoranda and

recommendations ("M&R"), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 72(b), wherein it is recommended plaintiff's motions be denied and that the clerk be

directed to close the case unless plaintiff pays the requisite filing fee. Plaintiff thereafter paid the

filing fee in each case. Therefore, the court adopts the M&Rs, and plaintiff's motions to proceed

in forma pauperis are DENIED. Where plaintiff has paid the filing fees and has filed proposed

summonses, the clerk is DIRECTED to proceed in each case accordance with Rule 4 to determine

first if the proposed summonses are "properly completed." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(b).

SO ORDERED, this the 10th day of December, 2024.

LOUISE W. FLANACAN